

Analytic vs. contingent meaning

- Compare:
 - (1) *My dog is a mammal*
 - (2) *My dog is a poodle*
- (1) is true **analytically**, that is, **by pure logic**.
- (2) is true **contingently**, that is, by **evaluation of the outside world**

Possible worlds

- Leibniz (~1700) noted that some statements can only be understood in the context of other possible worlds
 - *My dog is a mammal* is true no matter what is going on in the world
 - *My dog is a poodle* is true in some possible worlds, but not in others
- This affects our interpretation of the meanings of words

Varieties of meaning

- Consider these propositions:

(1) *The president* is the chief executive of the U.S.

analytic: true across all possible worlds

(2) *The president* pardons a Turkey every year.

contingent: true, but not necessarily

(3) *The president* wears a size 42 coat

contingent: true only of the current individual

- *The president* has different referents across possible worlds

Indexicals

- Indexicals are concepts that take on different meanings depending on the speaker or context
 - *I am hungry*
 - *She is tall*
- But consider also
 - *The dog likes to run*
 - *The weather is hot (= more than 85°) vs.*
 - *The coffee is hot (= more than 140°)*

Propositional logic

- **Propositions** are statements that are **true** or **false**

A = Dogs are mammals

B = The sky is green

- You can make combine propositions using **connectives**:
 - conjunction (“and”): $A \wedge B$ = “both A and B are true”
 - disjunction (“or”): $A \vee B$ = “either A is true or B is true”
 - negation (“not”) $\sim A$ = “A is not true”
 - implication (if-then) $A \rightarrow B$ “If A is true than B is true” = $\sim A \vee B$
- Etc. to make more and more **complex** propositions, eg:

$$\sim(((A \vee B) \wedge \sim C) \wedge (D \vee E))$$

Predicate logic

- Predicate logic expands propositional logic by including **predicates** and **quantifiers**

$f(x)$ (**predicate**) = “x has property f”

$\forall x$ (**universal quantifier**) = “For all x,…”

Ex.: $\forall x: f(x)$ means “All x’s have property f”

$\exists x$ (**existential quantifier**) = “There exists an x such that…”

- You can combine statements, e.g.

$\forall x f(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ “Everything that is f is also g”

$\exists x f(x) \wedge g(x)$ “Some things are both f and g.”

Bertrand Russell: Definite descriptions

- Bertrand Russell (1919) sought to explain meaning in terms of predicate logic

- Consider a sentence like

- *My dog is a poodle*

In propositional logic, it is either **true** or **false** regardless of whether I have a dog!

- Compare:

$\exists x \text{ s.t. } \text{dog}(x) \wedge \text{mine}(x) \wedge \text{poodle}(x).$

It is false if I don't have a dog **OR** I have one and it isn't a poodle!

Russell concluded that meaning is expressed through **definite descriptions**, e.g. dog means $\exists x \text{ s.t. } \text{dog}(x)$;

$\text{dog}(x) = \text{furry}(x) \wedge \text{4-legged}(x) \wedge \text{barks}(x)$

Problems with definite descriptions

- Ludwig Wittgenstein (~1920) argued that most word meanings **do not have** definite descriptions
 - Words have very vague referents
 - Referents of a word exhibit a general family resemblance, but do not obey a common definition
 - Furniture, game, ...
- He argued instead for **meaning as use**.
 - Words mean **whatever people mean by them** when they say them.
 - = **descriptivism** rather than **prescriptivism**